



Sharia Watch UK

Published on *Sharia Watch UK* (<https://shariawatch.org.uk>)

[Home](#) > 'Religion of peace' is not a harmless platitude

'Religion of peace' is not a harmless platitude

Author(s):

[Douglas Murray](#) [1]

[Spectator](#) [2]

Source Item:

['Religion of peace' is not a harmless platitude](#) [3]

Tags:

[Jihad](#) [4]

[Jihad-Is-Islamic](#) [5]

[Media-Bias](#) [6]

[BBC](#) [7]

[Political-Correctness/Coercion](#) [8]

[Dhimmitude](#) [9]

[Free-Speech](#) [10]

[Muhammad](#) [11]

Date Published:

Saturday, 17 January, 2015

Summary:

The West's movement towards the truth is remarkably slow. We drag ourselves towards it painfully, inch by inch, after each bloody Islamist assault.

In France, Britain, Germany, America and nearly every other country in the world it remains government policy to say that any and all attacks carried out in the name of Mohammed have 'nothing to do with Islam'. It was said by George W. Bush after 9/11, Tony Blair after 7/7 and Tony Abbott after the Sydney attack last month. It is what David Cameron said after two British extremists cut off the head of Drummer Lee Rigby in London, when 'Jihadi John' cut off the head of aid worker Alan Henning in the 'Islamic State' and when Islamic extremists attacked a Kenyan mall, separated the Muslims from the Christians and shot the latter in the head. And, of course, it is what President François Hollande said after the massacre of journalists and Jews in Paris last week.

...

There may be some positive things to be said about Mohammed, but I thought this was pushing things too far and mentioned just one occasion when Mohammed didn't welcome a critic. Asma bint Marwan was a female poetess who mocked the 'Prophet' and who, as a result, Mohammed had killed. It is in the texts. It is not a problem for me. But I can understand why it is a problem for decent Muslims. The moment I said this, my Muslim colleague went berserk. How dare I say this? I replied that it was in the Hadith and had a respectable chain of transmission (an important debate). He said it was a fabrication which he would not allow to stand. The upshot was that he refused to continue unless all mention of this was wiped from the recording. The BBC team agreed and I was left trying to find another way to express the same point. **The broadcast had this**

'offensive' fact left out.

Image:



Source URL (modified on 20/06/2018):

<https://shariawatch.org.uk/?q=content/religion-peace-not-harmless-platitude>

Links

[1] <https://shariawatch.org.uk/?q=authors/douglas-murray>

[2] <https://shariawatch.org.uk/?q=authors/spectator>

[3] <https://www.spectator.co.uk/2015/01/religion-of-peace-is-not-a-harmless-platitude/>

[4] <https://shariawatch.org.uk/?q=tags/jihad>

[5] <https://shariawatch.org.uk/?q=tags/jihad-is-islamic>

[6] <https://shariawatch.org.uk/?q=tags/media>

[7] <https://shariawatch.org.uk/?q=tags/bbc>

[8] <https://shariawatch.org.uk/?q=tags/political-correctnesscoercion>

[9] <https://shariawatch.org.uk/?q=tags/dhimmitude>

[10] <https://shariawatch.org.uk/?q=tags/free-speech>

[11] <https://shariawatch.org.uk/?q=tags/muhammad>